UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------|--|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/520,081 | 01/05/2005 | Yasushi Nakajima | 040302-0426 | 9194 |
| | 7590 03/26/201 [.] LARDNER LLP | EXAMINER | | |
| SUITE 500 | | HODGE, ROBERT W | | |
| 3000 K STREE WASHINGTO | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1795 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 03/26/2010 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|--------------|-----------------|--|
| | 10/520,081 | NAKAJIMA ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | ROBERT HODGE | 1795 | |

| | ROBERT HODGE | 1795 | |
|--|---|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>18 March 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavieal (with appeal fee) in compliance | Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07) | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | g date of the final rejection | n. |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| 3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, l | out prior to the date of filing a brief | will not be entered be | Called |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further col | | | cause |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | | , , | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | ter form for appeal by materially red | ducing or simplifying th | ne issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally reje | ected claims. | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | |
| 4. \square The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co | mpliant Amendment (I | PTOL-324). |
| 5. $igttee$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). | owable if submitted in a separate, | timely filed amendmer | nt canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proven The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | l be entered and an e | kplanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5 and 7-24</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | t la -6 tha1-ta6-615 N. | - 41 4 A 1 | h |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fails | s to provide a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attach | ed. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. | t does NOT place the application ir | condition for allowan | ce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 13. Other: | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| | /Robert Hodge/ Primary Examiner, Art U | Init 1795 | |
| | | | |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Although the proposed amendments would overcome the new matter rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, the amendments also require further consideration with regards to the prior art. Applicants' remarks are directed towards the amendments to the claims which require further consideration.